TA

Average number of Core Threat Assessment Team members: 7.12




Average percent of meetings attended by individual
Admin
MH
Instruction
LE
41%
41%
16%
15%
0%
10%
20%
30%
40%

Percent of schools with Admin on TAT trained by the following methods in the last 3 years



Percent of schools with Mental Health Representatives on TAT trained by the following methods in the last 3 years



Percent of schools with Instructional Representatives on TAT trained by the following methods in the last 3 years



Percent of schools with Law Enforcement on TAT trained by the following methods in the last 3 years



LE representation on TAT in schools without SRO's

Other responses included: Criminal Justice Instructor; Fire Department; former police officer; Parent/staff member husband; Retired officer on staff; Security Resident



% of schools using the following methods to inform students about threat assessment teams and their role



% of schools using the following methods to inform parents about threat assessment teams and their role



Percentage of mechanisms in place to make faculty and staff aware of how to recognize threatening or aberrant behavior



Other responses included: Alice Training, MHFA, Safe-2-Talk App, Safe Schools Training, StopIt App

Percent of schools whom provided instructions to report threats of self-harm to the TAT



Percentage of Schools where Threats to self were reported to the TAT



Percentages of whom threats to self were reported in the 20% of schools that did not report to TAT



Anonymous Reporting Methods (Percent of schools)




Where were the primary threat assessment records (such as Threat Assessment and Response Reports) stored during 20…
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
33%
3%
64%
14%
0.2%
11%
5%
3%
0.4%
0.1%
At the division…
With law enfor…
With school ad…
Not applicable…
With the TAT
With the Coun…
Digitally
In the Cumulati…
With the Nurse
In the Special…

Types of Threats made in 2019-2020 (Total 15524)



Individual making the threat



Other individuals making the threat (1.7% of total threats)



Percent of Total Threat Outcomes



When threat assessment was conducted after receiving threat



Clinical assessment determination



Classification of threats



Classification of threats (Total 15524)



% of High Classification Threats (1237) Occurring



Total of all threat assessments completed in the state for each year




Types of Threats Over the Years
60.00%
40.00%
20.00%
0.00%
52.35%
51.80%
44.02%
38.94%
35.56%
37.75%
43.81%
42.40%
50.56%
55.78%
59.54%
58.21%
3.84%
5.81%
5.42%
5.28%
4.90%
7.79%
Threats of harm to only others for each year
Threats of harm to only self for each year
Threat to self AND others
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020


% of Threats Classified at the Highest
12.50%
10.00%
7.50%
5.00%
2.50%
0.00%
10.90%
11.17%
9.90%
7.05%
7.97%
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020



	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Total of all threat assessments completed in the state for each year	3569	5522	6029	8309	14869	16573	15524
Threats of harm to only others for each year		2891	3123	3658	5790	5893	5860
Threats of harm to only self for each year		2419	2556	4201	8294	9868	9037
Threat to self AND others		212	350	450	785	812	1209
# of Highest level of threat for each year			657	928	1472	1169	1237
Highest level averted			601	888	1430	1131	1138